

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/615,847		07/10/2003	Masahiro Uchida	116505	9983	
25944	7590	08/04/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & B		GE, PLC	PRENTY, MARK V			
P.O. BOX 19 ALEXANDI		22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2822	2822		
				DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Community	10/615,847	UCHIDA, MASAHIRO				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		MARK V PRENTY	2822				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If th - If NC - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			a see to				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 3	July 2003 and 31 October 2003.					
·		is action is non-final.					
3)	·—						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	n.					
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-5,7 and 9-11</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>6,8,12 and 13</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •				
11)	1)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) 又	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119/a	)-(d) or (f)				
	<ul> <li>☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documen</li> </ul>		, (d) (i).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea	·	ed in this National Stage				
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
		,	-				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🛛 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <i>July 31, 2003</i> .	) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/615,847

Art Unit: 2822

This Office Action is in response to the papers filed on July 10, 2003 and the preliminary amendment filed on October 31, 2003.

As a preliminary matter, a request for an interview will be granted if the interview is held <u>before</u> the applicant files a response.

Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Independent claim 2 is indefinite because "a film thickness being set" lacks antecedent basis (note independent claim 1, which recites "a film thickness of the electrode layer being set").

Independent claim 3 is indefinite because "a film thickness being set" lacks antecedent basis (note independent claim 1, which recites "a film thickness of the electrode layer being set").

Independent claim 4 is indefinite because "film thicknesses being set" lacks antecedent basis (note independent claim 1, which recites "a film thickness of the electrode layer being set").

Independent claim 5 is indefinite because "a film thickness being set" lacks antecedent basis (note independent claim 1, which recites "a film thickness of the electrode layer being set").

Claims 1, 3, 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakayama et al. (United States Patent 5,554,911 – hereafter Nakayama).

With respect to independent claim 1, Nakayama discloses a light-emitting device (see the entire patent, including the Fig. 1 disclosure), comprising: a light-emitting layer 105; and an electrode layer 103, a film thickness of the electrode layer being set so that light extracted from the light-emitting device out of light emitted in the light-emitting layer has a predetermined chromaticity value.

Claim 1 is thus rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakayama.

With respect to independent claim 3, Nakayama discloses a light-emitting device (see the entire patent, including the Fig. 1 disclosure), comprising: a substrate 101; a light-emitting layer 105 disposed above the substrate; and an electrode layer 106 disposed above the light-emitting layer; a film thickness of an electrode layer 103 being set so that light extracted through at least the substrate out of light emitted in the light-emitting layer has a predetermined chromaticity value.

Claim 3, at least insofar as understood, is thus rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakayama.

With respect to independent claim 5, Nakayama discloses an organic EL device (see the entire patent, including the Fig. 1 disclosure), comprising: a substrate 101; an organic EL layer 105 disposed above the substrate; and an electrode layer 106 disposed above the organic EL layer; a film thickness of an electrode layer 103 being set so that light extracted through at least the substrate out of light emitted in the organic EL layer has a predetermined chromaticity value.

Claim 5, at least insofar as understood, is thus rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakayama.

With respect to dependent claim 9, Nakayama discloses that light-emitting devices are used in electronic apparatus (see column 1, lines 7-12).

Claim 9 is thus rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakayama.

Claims 1-5, 7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shimoda et al. (United States Patent 6,639,250 – hereafter Shimoda).

With respect to independent claim 1, Shimoda discloses a light-emitting device (see the entire patent, including the Fig. 1 disclosure), comprising: a light-emitting layer 4; and an electrode layer 5; a film thickness of the electrode layer 5 being set so that light extracted from the light-emitting device out of light emitted in the light-emitting layer has a predetermined chromaticity value (see column 6, lines 61-67, for example).

Claim 1 is thus rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shimoda.

With respect to independent claim 2, Shimoda discloses a light-emitting device (see the entire patent, including the Fig. 1 disclosure), comprising: a substrate 1; a light-emitting layer 4 disposed above the substrate; an electrode layer 5 disposed above the light-emitting layer; and a material layer 6 or 7 disposed above the electrode layer to cover the light-emitting layer; a film thickness of the electrode layer 5 being set so that

Art Unit: 2822

light extracted through at least the material layer out of light emitted in the light-emitting layer has a predetermined chromaticity value (see column 6, lines 61-67, for example).

Claim 2, at least insofar as understood, is thus rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shimoda.

With respect to independent claim 3, Shimoda discloses a light-emitting device (see the entire patent, including the Fig. 1 disclosure), comprising: a substrate 1; a light-emitting layer 4 disposed above the substrate; and an electrode layer 5 disposed above the light-emitting layer; a film thickness of the electrode layer 5 being set so that light extracted through at least the substrate out of light emitted in the light-emitting layer has a predetermined chromaticity value (see column 6, lines 61-67, for example).

Claim 3, at least insofar as understood, is thus rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shimoda.

With respect to independent claim 4, Shimoda discloses a light-emitting device (see the entire patent, including the Fig. 1 disclosure), comprising: a substrate 1; an organic EL layer 4 disposed above the substrate; an electrode layer 5 disposed above the organic EL layer; and a material layer 6 or 7 disposed above the electrode layer to cover the organic EL layer; a film thickness of at least the electrode layer 5 being set so that light extracted through at least the material layer[s] out of light emitted in the organic EL layer[s] has a predetermined chromaticity value (see column 6, lines 61-67, for example).

Claim 4, at least insofar as understood, is thus rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shimoda.

With respect to independent claim 5, Shimoda discloses an organic EL device (see the entire patent, including the Fig. 1 disclosure), comprising: a substrate 1; an organic EL layer 4 disposed above the substrate; and an electrode layer 5 disposed above the organic EL layer; a film thickness of the electrode layer 5 being set so that light extracted through at least the substrate out of light emitted in the organic EL layer has a predetermined chromaticity value (see column 6, lines 61-67, for example).

Claim 5, at least insofar as understood, is thus rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shimoda.

With respect to dependent claim 7, Shimoda's electrode layer 5 includes a plurality of laminated layers (see column 6, lines 58-60), and the film thickness of at least one of the plurality of layers being set.

Claim 7 is thus rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shimoda.

With respect to dependent claim 9, Shimoda discloses that light-emitting devices are used in electronic apparatus (see column 15, lines 59-65).

Claim 9 is thus rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shimoda.

With respect to independent claim 10, Shimoda discloses a method of manufacturing a light-emitting device (see the entire patent, including the Fig. 1

disclosure), comprising: disposing a light-emitting layer 4 above a substrate 1; disposing an electrode layer 5 above the light-emitting layer; and disposing a material layer 6 or 7 above the electrode layer to cover the light-emitting layer; film thickness of the electrode layer 5 being set so that light extracted through at least the material layer out of light emitted in the light-emitting layer has a predetermined chromaticity value (see column 6, lines 61-67, for example).

Claim 10 is thus rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shimoda.

With respect to independent claim 11, Shimoda discloses a method of manufacturing a light-emitting device (see the entire patent, including the Fig. 1 disclosure), comprising: disposing a light-emitting layer 4 above a substrate 1; and disposing an electrode layer 5 above the light-emitting layer; a film thickness of the electrode layer 5 being set so that light extracted through at least the substrate out of light emitted in the light-emitting layer has a predetermined chromaticity value (see column 6, lines 61-67, for example).

Claim 11 is thus rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shimoda.

Claims 6, 8, 12 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable over the prior art of record if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,847

Art Unit: 2822

The prior art of record does not disclose or suggest the claimed light-emitting

devices taken as a whole, including the electrode layers.

Uchida (United States Patent Application Publication 2004/0061121) is related to

this application.

Again, a request for an interview will be granted if the interview is held before the

applicant files a response.

Registered practitioners can telephone the examiner at (571) 272-1843. Any

voicemail message left for the examiner must include the name and registration number

of the registered practitioner calling, and the Application/Control (Serial) Number.

Technology Center 2800's general telephone number is (571) 272-2800.

Mark V. Prenty Primary Examiner

Page 8